

Details of Representations Received to the Proposed Submission Local Plan (Reg19) February 2025

New Alresford

This document has been prepared to provide details of the representations received to the Proposed Submission Plan and the Council's response. It draws upon information contained within the submitted documents SD07b [Regulation 22 Statement of Consultation Part 2 \(November 2024\)](#) and SD16 [Regulation 20 representations \(November 2024\)](#). It is not considered that this document contains information which is substantially different to that set out within those submitted documents, but it has been prepared to assist in navigating and considering the representations received and Council Response.

For each plan policy or associated document, it sets out some key information from the regulation 22 statement regarding the number of representations received, representation numbers, an overall summary of responses made, and a list of the main issues raised by the representations. It then contains all of the representations recorded against that Plan policy or document, along with links to supporting documents. Finally, it sets out the Council's response to the representations made for that Plan policy or document, and any changes the Council now recommends are made to the Plan policy or document, alongside any other relevant information.

This has been updated to include comments that were submitted by Historic England but were not entered onto Citizenspace and therefore they were not included in the original version of this report.

Local Plan Reference or document	Policy NA1 The Dean	
Total Number of Representations received	6	
Number of respondents who confirmed they consider the policy is –	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	3	1
Sound	2	2
Complies with Duty to Cooperate	3	1
<p>Summary of Representations</p> <p>A key concern that was raised by the respondents is the inadequacy of current policies in securing necessary developer contributions for infrastructure and community services. Concerns were expressed regarding the challenges of increased traffic and the need for clear guidelines on infrastructure improvements which potentially put at risks cyclists at key junctions.</p> <p>There is also the need to align any new development with adequate sewerage infrastructure in order to prevent flooding. New housing developments will create additional demands on educational facilities/GP facilities.</p>		
<p>Representation Numbers (Statutory consultees in bold and named)</p> <p>ANON-AQTS-32U8-B/Historic England (this representation does not have a full rep number because it was not directly entered into Citizenspace)</p> <p>ANON-AQTS-3B56-S - NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight ICB/11/NA1</p> <p>ANON-AQTS-327U-A - Southern Water/12/NA1</p> <p>ANON-AQTS-3BPD-2/2/NA1</p> <p>BHLF-AQTS-3284-A/5/NA1</p> <p>BHLF-AQTS-328R-8 - Hampshire County Council/7/NA1</p>		
<p>Main issues raised in representations received in regulation 19 consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The need to secure developer contributions towards infrastructure and community services; • The need to address the increase traffic and the safety of cyclists; and • The additional demands that any new housing development will put on services and facilities. 		

Policy/Evidence base document	NA1
Name of respondent (or client)	Guy Robinson
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-32U8-B - Historic England
Full reference number	
Legally compliant?	
Sound?	
Complies with duty to co-operate?	
Policy/Document comment	Given the site's proximity to the conservation area, might the supporting text usefully refer to the New Arlesford Conservation Area Technical Assessment, acknowledging the potential to impact on the western approach into the town?
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.</i>	Yes Letter (commenting on policies) Email correspondence (between officers and Historic England) Email correspondence (between officers and Historic England re: suggested changes)

Policy/Evidence base document	NA1
Name of respondent (or client)	Andy Key
Personal reference number	BHLF-AQTS-3284-A
Full reference number	BHLF-AQTS-3284-A/5/NA1
Legally compliant?	No
Sound?	No
Complies with duty to co-operate?	Yes
Policy/Document comment	<p>Fails on being effective and consistent with national policy.</p> <p>Very weak on access. No indication of what off-site improvements might be necessary. The area round the West St/The Avenue junction is an obvious example: development off the Dean is bound to have a major effect on traffic at this junction, which is already hazardous for cyclists. However without any indication of specific areas, developers will be able to get away with little or no contribution (as has already happened at Sun Hill).</p> <p>Again, the district LCWIP should be referenced.</p>
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	iv. Provide safe vehicle, pedestrian and cycle access from The Dean, provide links to the network defined in the Winchester District LCWIP and contribute to any off-site junction, cycle route and or pedestrian improvements necessary, including West Street and the Avenue.”
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	Yes, I want to take part in a hearing session if I am invited to by the Inspector to participate
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided</i>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Form (commenting on policies)</p> <p>Letter (commenting on policies)</p>

*may contain additional details,
such as images, tables, or
tracked changes, if applicable.*

Policy/Evidence base document	NA1
Name of respondent (or client)	Julie Roughton
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-3BPD-2
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-3BPD-2/2/NA1
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	Yes
Complies with duty to co-operate?	Yes
Policy/Document comment	z
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	No, I don't want to take part in a hearing session
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.</i>	No

Policy/Evidence base document	NA1
Name of respondent (or client)	Morag Kirby
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-3B56-S - NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight ICB
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-3B56-S - NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight ICB/11/NA1
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	No
Complies with duty to co-operate?	No
Policy/Document comment	Whilst there has been good collaboration between the ICB and WCC during the Local Plan process, our request is an amendment to the policy as outlined in the full response which has been submitted via email on 08/10/2024. - Whilst there is supporting text for healthcare infrastructure there is no inclusion within the policy that directly supports the need for sufficient healthcare infrastructure. The policy needs an inclusion to contribute to infrastructure
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	Yes, I want to take part in a hearing session if I am invited to by the Inspector to participate
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details,</i>	Yes Letter (commenting on policies)

*such as images, tables, or
tracked changes, if applicable.*

Policy/Evidence base document	NA1
Name of respondent (or client)	Neil Massie
Personal reference number	BHLF-AQTS-328R-8 - Hampshire County Council
Full reference number	BHLF-AQTS-328R-8 - Hampshire County Council/7/NA1
Legally compliant?	
Sound?	
Complies with duty to co-operate?	
Policy/Document comment	130 dwellings could generate up to 39 additional primary age pupils and 27 secondary age pupils. The site is served by Sun Hill Infant and Junior Schools and Perins Academy. Due to the development sites being brought forward across New Alresford it is likely that a contribution towards the expansion of infant, junior and secondary school provision will be required.
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	Yes, I want to take part in a hearing session if I am invited to by the Inspector to participate
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.</i>	Yes Letter (commenting on policies and evidence base)

Policy/Evidence base document	NA1
Name of respondent (or client)	Ryan Patrick Lownds
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-327U-A - Southern Water
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-327U-A - Southern Water/12/NA1
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	Yes
Complies with duty to co-operate?	Yes
Policy/Document comment	<p>We welcome the inclusion of the criterion below for Policy NA1 The Dean:</p> <p>Occupation of development will be phased to align with the delivery of sewerage infrastructure, in consultation with the service provider. Layout of the development must be planned to ensure future access to existing underground infrastructure for maintenance and upsizing purposes. Supporting Text:</p> <p>Southern Water is the statutory wastewater undertaker for the areas where this site is allocated. In accordance with this, we undertook an assessment of the existing capacity of our infrastructure and its ability to meet the forecast demand for the proposal at the site. The assessment revealed that local sewerage infrastructure in closest proximity to the site has limited capacity to accommodate the proposed development. Limited capacity is not a constraint to development provided that planning policy and subsequent conditions ensure that occupation of the development is phased to align with the delivery of wastewater infrastructure. Proposals for the number of dwellings at the site will generate a need for reinforcement of the wastewater network in order to provide additional capacity to serve the development. This reinforcement will be provided through the New Infrastructure charge, but Southern Water will need to work with site promoters to understand the development program and to review whether the delivery of network reinforcement aligns with the occupation of the development. Connection of new development at this site ahead of new infrastructure delivery could lead to an increased risk of flooding unless the requisite works are implemented in advance of occupation. Southern Water has limited powers to prevent connections to the sewerage network, even when capacity is limited. Planning policies and planning conditions, therefore, play an important role in ensuring that development is coordinated with the provision of necessary infrastructure, and does not contribute to pollution of the environment, in line with paragraph 180(e) of the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2023). Our initial assessment of the site also ascertained that Southern Water's infrastructure crosses the site, which needs to be taken into account when designing the layout of any proposed development. An easement width of 6 metres or more, depending on pipe size and depth, would be required, which may affect site layout or require diversion. This easement should be clear of all proposed buildings and substantial tree planting.</p>
What modification(s) are necessary to make the	

policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	No, I don't want to take part in a hearing session
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.</i>	Yes Supporting Document (Commenting on policies)

WCC Response.

Comments noted.

WCC Recommended Changes arising from representations:

No changes apart from:

[Proposed Modification](#) in response the HIOW ICB representation regarding criterion xi on infrastructure

Local Plan Reference or document	Policy NA2 Sun Lane	
Total Number of Representations received	6	
Number of respondents who confirmed they consider the policy is –	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	5	0
Sound	2	3
Complies with Duty to Cooperate	3	1
<p>Summary of Representations</p> <p>Approximately half the comments refer to inconsistencies in policies related to sustainable transport. There are calls for more explicit guidelines needed on cycling and walking pathways and their alignment with national policies, as well as better integration with bus services to support sustainable travel.</p> <p>Environmental concerns were raised especially potential groundwater risks of the burial ground at Sun Lane on groundwater from the Environment Agency.</p> <p>Integration with existing infrastructure is highlighted, with a need for better coordination in delivering sewerage services to support new developments. Public transport support and community services enhancements are needed, with more detailed requirements for cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>Educational infrastructure will need to be expanded in order to accommodate new housing impacts.</p>		
<p>Representation Numbers (Statutory consultees in bold and named)</p> <p>ANON-AQTS-3B56-S - NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight ICB/26/NA2,</p> <p>ANON-AQTS-32UK-X - Environment Agency/16/NA2</p> <p>ANON-AQTS-327U-A - Southern Water/29/NA2</p> <p>ANON-AQTS-3B8M-K/13/NA2</p> <p>BHLF-AQTS-3284-A/14/NA2</p> <p>BHLF-AQTS-328R-8 - Hampshire County Council/24/NA2</p>		
<p>Main issues raised in representations received in regulation 19 consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More explicit guidelines needed on cycling and walking pathways and their alignment with national policies, as well as better integration with bus services to support sustainable travel; • Environmental concerns, especially potential groundwater risks from developments such as burial grounds from the Environment Agency; 		

- Need a need for better coordination in delivering sewerage services to support new developments; and
- Public transport support and community services enhancements are needed along with the need for the expansion of educational facilities.

Policy/Evidence base document	NA2
Name of respondent (or client)	Andy Key
Personal reference number	BHLF-AQTS-3284-A
Full reference number	BHLF-AQTS-3284-A/14/NA2
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	No
Complies with duty to co-operate?	
Policy/Document comment	<p>Fails on being effective and consistent with national policy.</p> <p>Para v: Again, should make it clear that “access” limits described here apply only to motor vehicle access, not active travel access.</p> <p>As for NA1 above, the requirement to provide “off-site” improvements for pedestrian and cycle travel is far too vague and open to abuse. These need to be outlined and linked to the District LCWIP.</p>
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	<p>“v. Motor vehicle access to the site should be primarily from the south...”</p> <p>“viii. [...] This should include off-site vehicle, pedestrian, cycle and public transport improvements both to and through the site, to be provided or funded by the development in accordance with the District LCWIP and the requirements of this Plan’s Transport policies.”</p>
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	Yes, I want to take part in a hearing session if I am invited to by the Inspector to participate
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation.</i>	Yes Form (commenting on policies) Letter (commenting on policies)

However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.

Policy/Evidence base document	NA2
Name of respondent (or client)	Anna Rabone
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-32UK-X - Environment Agency
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-32UK-X - Environment Agency/16/NA2
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	Yes
Complies with duty to co-operate?	Yes
Policy/Document comment	We would expect a groundwater risk assessment to be produced for the proposed burial ground (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/cemeteries-and-burials-groundwater-risk-assessments). Ideally, this should be referenced as a requirement within the policy (we suggest within point xiv.).
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	We would expect a groundwater risk assessment to be produced for the proposed burial ground (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/cemeteries-and-burials-groundwater-risk-assessments). Ideally, this should be referenced as a requirement within the policy (we suggest within point xiv.).
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	Wording to add to point xiv. "Provide a groundwater risk assessment for the burial ground."
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	No, I don't want to take part in a hearing session
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.</i>	No

Policy/Evidence base document	NA2
Name of respondent (or client)	Morag Kirby
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-3B56-S - NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight ICB
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-3B56-S - NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight ICB/26/NA2
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	No
Complies with duty to co-operate?	No
Policy/Document comment	Whilst there has been good collaboration between the ICB and WCC during the Local Plan process, our request is an amendment to the policy as outlined in the full response which has been submitted via email on 08/10/2024. - Whilst there is supporting text for healthcare infrastructure there is no inclusion within the policy that directly supports the need for sufficient healthcare infrastructure. The policy needs an inclusion to contribute to infrastructure
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	Yes, I want to take part in a hearing session if I am invited to by the Inspector to participate
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details,</i>	Yes Letter (commenting on policies)

such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.

Policy/Evidence base document	NA2
Name of respondent (or client)	Neil Massie
Personal reference number	BHLF-AQTS-328R-8 - Hampshire County Council
Full reference number	BHLF-AQTS-328R-8 - Hampshire County Council/24/NA2
Legally compliant?	
Sound?	
Complies with duty to co-operate?	
Policy/Document comment	320 dwellings could generate up to 96 additional primary age pupils and 67 secondary age pupils. The site is served by Sun Hill Infant and Junior Schools and Perins Academy. Due to the development sites being brought forward across New Alresford it is likely that a contribution towards the expansion of infant, junior and secondary school provision will be required.
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	Yes, I want to take part in a hearing session if I am invited to by the Inspector to participate
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.</i>	Yes Letter (commenting on policies and evidence base)

Policy/Evidence base document	NA2
Name of respondent (or client)	Phil Gagg
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-3B8M-K
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-3B8M-K/13/NA2
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	No
Complies with duty to co-operate?	Yes
Policy/Document comment	<p>Sub-policy v is worded in such a way that it conflicts with the tone of the rest of the document promoting sustainable transport.</p> <p>Sub-paragraphs vi and vii are vague and non-specific. The policy needs far more detail about the types of cycling and walking networks required to make the policy compatible with the NPPF and policy CN1 and ensure the development is sustainable.</p>
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	<p>The policy needs far more detail about the types of cycling and walking networks required to make the policy compatible with the NPPF and policy CN1 and ensure the development is sustainable. It needs to say where the cycling and walking routes should go, how many of them there need to be, and how they will relate to bus services in Alresford.</p> <p>A requirement to support buses serving the development should also be included.</p>
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	<p>Sub-policy v says "access to the site should be primarily from the south by means of a new junction onto the A31" The word 'car' should be inserted at the beginning of the sentence. Otherwise the policy needs far more specific on sustainable transport reflecting comments above.</p>
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	Yes, I want to take part in a hearing session if I am invited to by the Inspector to participate
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details,</i>	No

such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.

Policy/Evidence base document	NA2
Name of respondent (or client)	Ryan Patrick Lownds
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-327U-A - Southern Water
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-327U-A - Southern Water/29/NA2
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	Yes
Complies with duty to co-operate?	Yes
Policy/Document comment	<p>We welcome the inclusion of the criterion below for Policy NA2 Sun Lane: Occupation of development will be phased to align with the delivery of sewerage infrastructure, in consultation with the service provider.</p> <p>Supporting Text: Southern Water is the statutory wastewater undertaker for the areas where this site is allocated. In accordance with this, we undertook an assessment of the existing capacity of our infrastructure and its ability to meet the forecast demand for the proposal at each site.</p> <p>The assessment revealed that local sewerage infrastructure in closest proximity to the site has limited capacity to accommodate the proposed development. Limited capacity is not a constraint to development provided that planning policy and subsequent conditions ensure that occupation of the development is phased to align with the delivery of wastewater infrastructure.</p> <p>Proposals for the number of dwellings at the site will generate a need for reinforcement of the wastewater network in order to provide additional capacity to serve the development. This reinforcement will be provided through the New Infrastructure charge, but Southern Water will need to work with site promoters to understand the development program and to review whether the delivery of network reinforcement aligns with the occupation of the development. Connection of new development at this site ahead of new infrastructure delivery could lead to an increased risk of flooding unless the requisite works are implemented in advance of occupation.</p> <p>Southern Water has limited powers to prevent connections to the sewerage network, even when capacity is limited. Planning policies and planning conditions, therefore, play an important role in ensuring that development is coordinated with the provision of necessary infrastructure, and does not contribute to pollution of the environment, in line with paragraph 180(e) of the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2023).</p>
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	

What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	No, I don't want to take part in a hearing session
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.</i>	Yes Supporting Document (Commenting on policies)

WCC Response.

Comments noted.

WCC Recommended Changes arising from representations:

No changes apart from:

[Proposed Modification](#) in response the HIOW ICB representation regarding criterion xvi on infrastructure.

[Proposed Modification](#) agreed with the Environment Agency in relation to criterion xiv (ground water risk assessment for the burial ground).

Local Plan Reference or document	Policy NA3 Neighbourhood Plan Designated Area	
Total Number of Representations received	6	
Number of respondents who confirmed they consider the policy is –	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	5	1
Sound	2	4
Complies with Duty to Cooperate	3	3
<p>Summary of Representations Concerns were raised around housing allocations site deliverability and maintaining a five-year housing supply and how this would work with the 2030 phasing policy.</p> <p>Comments were raised about the importance of coordinating with utility providers in order to align new developments with the delivery of infrastructure. There was also need for Local Green Space designations, and concerns were raised about the arbitrary housing figures, and the implications of a Market Town like New Alresford potentially reaching its development limits.</p> <p>The importance of preserving the cultural and historical identity of areas such as Bishop’s Sutton, safeguarding their unique characteristics against the impact of new developments on this gap were also raised. Comments were made that it is important to ensure that heritage and social aspects are fully considered in plans for additional housing in New Alresford.</p>		
<p>Representation Numbers (Statutory consultees in bold and named) ANON-AQTS-3BTZ-V - Bishop’s Sutton Parish Council/1/NA3 ANON-AQTS-3B56-S - NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight ICB/21/NA3 ANON-AQTS-327U-A - Southern Water/25/NA3 ANON-AQTS-32FT-R - New Alresford Town Council/9/NA3 ANON-AQTS-322Q-1/3/NA3, BHLF-AQTS-328X-E/16/NA3</p>		
<p>Main issues raised in representations received in regulation 19 consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintaining a five-year housing supply and how this would work with the 2030 phasing policy; • The importance of coordinating with utility providers to align new developments with existing infrastructure; • Concerns about the arbitrary housing figures, and the implications of a Market Town like New Alresford potentially reaching its development limits; • The importance of preserving the cultural and historical identity of areas such as Bishop’s Sutton, safeguarding their unique characteristics against the impact of new developments on this gap; and • Ensuring that heritage and social aspects are fully considered in plans for additional housing in New Alresford. 		

Policy/Evidence base document	NA3
Name of respondent (or client)	Councillor Nicola Macintyre
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-3BTZ-V - Bishop's Sutton Parish Council
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-3BTZ-V - Bishop's Sutton Parish Council/1/NA3
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	Yes
Complies with duty to co-operate?	Yes
Policy/Document comment	<p>Whilst we agree that this wording is compliant, the village of Bishop's Sutton, which shares a boundary with New Alresford, would like to ensure that developers recognise the unique qualities of our village, which is distinct from and separate from New Alresford, and other surrounding villages.</p> <p>With reference to HE1 - Historic Environment - Strategic Environment, 8.3, "...The exceptional quality of the landscape, heritage, and built environment are some of the core reasons why people want to live, work in and visit the district...",</p> <p>and Key Issues iii : "...The location of new development must be carefully considered in order to ensure that the character and significance of heritage assets and their settings are not adversely affected...",</p> <p>we would like to ensure that the boundary between the town of New Alresford, and the village of Bishop's Sutton are not encroached by or blurred by development, thereby adversely affecting the unique characters of their historic, environmental and social differences.</p>
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	No, I don't want to take part in a hearing session
Have you submitted supporting information?	No

All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.

Policy/Evidence base document	NA3
Name of respondent (or client)	Guy Allison
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-322Q-1
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-322Q-1/3/NA3
Legally compliant?	No
Sound?	No
Complies with duty to co-operate?	No
Policy/Document comment	The policy requires contribution to SP1, but does not specify SP2 and SP3. With regards to local co-operation, community proposals to Local Green Space designation for SHELAA site NA07 has not been given due consideration in line with the commitments made in this local plan, possibly due to conflicts of interest of those involved. SHELAA site NA06 does not appear in the New Alresford list on page 21 of the Development Strategy and Sites Proposed Submission 2024 and no explanation has been given as to why despite the question being asked locally.
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	Require demonstrable contribution to policies SP1, SP2 and SP3.
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	NA3 bullet 'i' amended to - Show how it contributes towards the Vision and Objectives of the Plan in Policies SP1, SP2 and SP3, and is in general conformity with its strategic approach; NA3 additional bullet - have regard to the commitments in this Local Plan with regards to achieving environmental objectives in support of tackling the climate and nature emergencies and creating a greener district.
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	Yes, I want to take part in a hearing session if I am invited to by the Inspector to participate
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation.</i>	No

However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.

Policy/Evidence base document	NA3
Name of respondent (or client)	Morag Kirby
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-3B56-S - NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight ICB
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-3B56-S - NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight ICB/21/NA3
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	No
Complies with duty to co-operate?	No
Policy/Document comment	Whilst there has been good collaboration between the ICB and WCC during the Local Plan process, our request is an amendment to the policy as outlined in the full response which has been submitted via email on 08/10/2024. - Whilst there is supporting text for healthcare infrastructure there is no inclusion within the policy that directly supports the need for sufficient healthcare infrastructure. The policy needs an inclusion to contribute to infrastructure
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	Yes, I want to take part in a hearing session if I am invited to by the Inspector to participate
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details,</i>	Yes Letter (commenting on policies)

*such as images, tables, or
tracked changes, if applicable.*

Policy/Evidence base document	NA3
Name of respondent (or client)	Richard Doughty
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-32FT-R - New Alresford Town Council
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-32FT-R - New Alresford Town Council/9/NA3
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	Yes
Complies with duty to co-operate?	Yes
Policy/Document comment	We understand that all housing allocations under policy NA3, Neighbourhood Plan Designated Area, will not be developed until after 2030, in phased approach. We support this as it allows more time for improvements to existing facilities to be completed. However, New Alresford is densely populated with little available space left for further development. We have concerns in relation to the indicative housing allocation as Alresford has a very small geographical area and is close to capacity.
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	
Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	No, I don't want to take part in a hearing session
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details,</i>	No

such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.

Policy/Evidence base document	NA3
Name of respondent (or client)	Ryan Patrick Lownds
Personal reference number	ANON-AQTS-327U-A - Southern Water
Full reference number	ANON-AQTS-327U-A - Southern Water/25/NA3
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	No
Complies with duty to co-operate?	No
Policy/Document comment	<p>Policy NA3 Neighbourhood Plan Designated Area - New Alresford Town Council Neighbourhood Plan</p> <p>As agreed in our duty to co-operate meeting held 30 September 2024, we have not undertaken a capacity assessment of our water and wastewater network in relation to the 100 dwellings proposed for the New Alresford Town Council Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>Therefore, it will be vitally important that Southern Water are consulted on the Neighbourhood Plan once sites allocations are established, as to allow us to undertake the assessment. This could be recognised with the following policy criterion.</p> <p>Southern Water must be consulted on the sites allocated within the New Alresford Town Council Neighbourhood Plan for capacity assessments to be completed on their water and wastewater networks and for policy to be applied as required.</p> <p>Supporting Text;</p> <p>This criterion for Policy NA3 is required because proposals for the number of dwellings at the sites could generate a need for reinforcement of the network in order to provide additional capacity to serve the development. This reinforcement will be provided through the New Infrastructure charge, but Southern Water will need to work with site promoters to understand the development program and to review whether the delivery of network reinforcement aligns with the occupation of the development. Connection of new development at sites ahead of new infrastructure delivery could lead to an increased risk of flooding or result in low water pressure unless the requisite works are implemented in advance of occupation.</p> <p>Southern Water has limited powers to prevent connections to the network, even when capacity is limited. Planning policies and planning conditions, therefore, play an important role in ensuring that development is coordinated with the provision of necessary infrastructure, and does not contribute to pollution of the environment, in line with paragraph 180(e) of the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2023).</p>
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	<p>It is vitally important that Southern Water are consulted on the Neighbourhood Plan as to allow us to undertake the capacity assessment. This could be recognised with the following policy criterion.</p> <p>Southern Water must be consulted on the sites allocated within the New Alresford Town Council Neighbourhood Plan for capacity assessments to be completed on their water and wastewater networks and for policy to be applied as required.</p>

<p>What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?</p>	<p>Southern Water must be consulted on the sites allocated within the New Alresford Town Council Neighbourhood Plan for capacity assessments to be completed on their water and wastewater networks and for policy to be applied as required.</p>
<p>Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?</p>	
<p>If no, please explain</p>	
<p>Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?</p>	<p>No, I don't want to take part in a hearing session</p>
<p>Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.</i></p>	<p>Yes Supporting Document (Commenting on policies)</p>

Policy/Evidence base document	NA3
Name of respondent (or client)	Wates Developments Ltd
Personal reference number	BHLF-AQTS-328X-E
Full reference number	BHLF-AQTS-328X-E/16/NA3
Legally compliant?	Yes
Sound?	No
Complies with duty to co-operate?	Yes
Policy/Document comment	<p>Wates is pleased to note that New Alresford is identified as a Market Town in the Local Plan. Market Towns are settlements with a good level of services and facilities, which gain a 'score' of 30 or more in the Council's settlement assessment work. Market Towns are therefore rightly considered to be sustainable locations for new development.</p> <p>9.6 Wates is pleased to read paragraph 14.28 of the Local Plan, which recognises the potential for growth at New Alresford:</p> <p>It is expected that there is capacity for the development of about 610 dwellings in New Alresford</p> <p>9.7 Wates is however, somewhat concerned at the somewhat arbitrary nature of the figure of 610, and that this is not fully justified in evidence, instead it is a reflection of completion in recent times. Wates further notes that the proposal in the Local Plan is to rely on – and 'roll forward' – allocations for the extant Winchester Plan. Whilst this can be an appropriate strategy, the Council will need to demonstrate that these sites are deliverable in the plan period and has confidence that their continued allocation is suitable.</p> <p>It is noted that it is proposed to rely on additional site allocations in the Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP). This can be an appropriate way to manage the allocation of development sites, and Wates will be continuing their ongoing engagement with the Town Council.</p> <p>9.9 However, the Council will need to be confident that it has sufficient sites allocated in the Local Plan to meet needs and to provide a rolling five-year supply of deliverable sites. Should there be any uncertainty about the deliverability of sites proposed in the Local Plan, then alternatives – such as Land to the rear of Thody's – could be allocated, or at least allocated as a reserve site.</p>
What modification(s) are necessary to make the policy legally compliant or sound?	The Council should consider a fall-back position in the event that NDP do not come forward in an appropriate and timely manner. This should involve the identification of reserve development sites.
What is your suggested wording or text for the policy?	

Do you agree with how the policy will be monitored?	
If no, please explain	
Do you want to participate in hearing sessions for this policy?	
Have you submitted supporting information? <i>All relevant information related to the specific policy or allocation has already been included in the representation. However, the links provided may contain additional details, such as images, tables, or tracked changes, if applicable.</i>	Yes Form (refers to letter) Letter (commenting on policies and evidence base)

WCC Response.

Comments noted.

WCC Recommended Changes arising from representations:

No changes apart from:

[Proposed Modification](#) in response the HIOW ICB representation regarding criterion ii of Policy NA3.