

MATTER 8 - POLICY CC4

EIP – WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN 2020-2040

> Mr A C Partridge BSc (HONS) DIP UP

Client	Clay Family
ID	ANON-AQTS-32MY-4
Date	3 April 2025
Ref	WIN/1993/AP

- 1.1 This Statement is made on behalf of the Clay Family, in response to the matters, issues and questions raised in ED13 Inspector's Note V2 in relation to the Stage 1 Hearings.
- 1.2 This Statement accords with the guidance issued by the Inspector in ED14
- 1.3 This Statement focuses on Matter 8, specifically policy CC4. The Clay Family supports the allocation on Land Adjoining 85 Church Lane but does take issue with the phasing.
 - Q1 Would the phasing of development until 2030 be justified by the evidence?
- 1.4 The issue is raised more generally in Matter 4 and the Inspector is referred to in the Clay Family's Statement on this matter.
- 1.5 The LPA's reason for phasing the development of greenfield sites is to smooth the housing completion rate to ensure that there is not a housing supply shortfall from 2030 and beyond. However, given the proposed allocation is for around ten units, the development if released early will not significantly affect the housing trajectory and therefore the risk that the LPA has identified with an oversupply is small.
 - **Q2** Would policy CC4 and its supporting text be effective in controlling development in relation to underground water infrastructure?
- 1.6 This is a matter for the LPA to address.
 - Q3 Given the site constraints, including the listed buildings and ancient oak trees fronting Church Lane, would the indicative site capacity be justified by the evidence?
- 1.7 The site extends to over 0.8 hectares. The average density of development for a 1 hectare site is around 40 dwellings per hectare. The proposed density of around 10 dwellings would give a density of 12.5 dwellings per hectare which is a low density. As the allocation does not proscribe an exact number of units there is flexibility built into the allocation to allow the density to be adjusted to address more easily the site's constraints.
- 1.8 There is sufficient room to accommodate an access without affecting the frontage trees and to set the housing further back from the road away the trees. The nearest listed building, Keepers Cottage, is somewhat removed from the site being set on the other side of the road, a little to the west, amongst housing. The road is lined with trees and hedges and the vegetation together with the road itself helps provide a visual separation from the listed



building. While the setting is an important consideration, its sensitivity is not high given the context of the listed building and the proximity of the site. Therefore, there is a degree of flexibility.

An illustrative layout is attached at Appendix A which shows an option for a scheme of 9 detached houses with plenty of open space around the buildings, between the buildings and trees and between the access and trees. The amount of space available means that there is plenty of scope to vary the layout with a different configuration of the built form to open space allowing for the constraints to be addressed. The amount of open space allows for BNG to be met on the allocation site if needs be which based on the illustrative layout is calculated as 79.3% (habitat units) and 36.14% (hedgerow units) details of which have been forwarded to the LPA.



APPENDIX A



Plot 5 3 Bed Bungalow Plot 4 3 Bed Plot 2 3 Bed Plot 1 3 Bed Orchard/ Cops/ Community Area Plot 9 3 Bed Bungalow Plot 8 4 Bed Plot 7 4 Bed Plot 6 4 Bed Bench feature at the end of the road - see fig 1. Floor Area
Plots 1, 6, 7, & 8 (4 Bed) @ 122sqm/plot
Plots 2, 3, & 4 (3 Bed) @ 92sqm/plot
Plots 5, & 9 (3 Bed single storey) @ 80sqm/plot Total = 924sqm / 9,945sqft Redline Site Area = 0.5ha

The copyright of this drawing remains with Mangnalls Architecture Ltd and may not be reproduced in any form without prior written consent. Only scale from this drawing for the purposes of determining a planning application. Do not scale from this drawing for construction purposes. Any discrepancies between this and any other consultant's drawing should be reported to the Architect immediately. The contractor must check all dimensions before commencing work on site and all discrepancies reported to the Architect. No deviation from this drawing will be permitted without the prior consent of the Architect.





Fig1. Bench with a view.

Issue:

Project: Colden Common - Church Lane

Drawing Name: Proposed Sketch Site Plan

Drawing No:

000/ **SK**01

Date: 15 December 2022

1:500 @ A3





Address: Email: